UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/523,409	04/04/2005	Kenichi Azuma	Q85792	6299
23373 7590 01/09/2008 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			EXAMINER	
			FLANIGAN, ALLEN J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3744	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•			01/09/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	H				
<u>.</u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/523,409	AZUMA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Allen J. Flanigan	3744			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the country of the coun	DN. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on  2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers		·			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner  10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  12)	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ion is required if the drawing(s) is c	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applica ity documents have been recei (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ntion No ved in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summal Paper No(s)/Mail   5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	ry (PTO-413) Date Patent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/523,409

Art Unit: 3744

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 5, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a, e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Bhagwagar.

Bhagwagar teaches a composition for thermal interface applications including conductive filler particles and silicone polymers, resins or mixtures of silicone resin and polymer. The polymer/resin component is tailored to provide appropriate rheological properties for use as an HSTC, being suitably solid and capable of handling at room temperature and softening at operating temperatures (see paragraphs 55 and 56). The claimed composition is thus

Application/Control Number: 10/523,409

Art Unit: 3744

either anticipated or obvious over the conductive polymer composition of Bhagwagar depending on whether Bhagwagar's disclosed compounds will inherently exhibit the modulus recited at 23 °C. As noted in paragraph 26, the first example provides a viscosity of almost 14,000 Pa-s at 30 °C (which is presumed to correspond to a storage modulus of 14K Pa) and of 190 Pa-s at 90 Thus, it seems likely that at 23 °C, the modulus of Bhagwagar's composition would be within the claimed range of 50K pa or larger, and also within the range specified for the temperature range of 50-80 °C. Even if this were not the case, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the instant invention was made to adjust the properties of the composition of Bhagwagar as desired to suit the requirements of a particular application in terms of viscoelastic properties desired at room temperature and up to the maximum operating temperatures anticipated. Note that Bhagwagar teaches that the composition can be "formulated to have any desired softening temperature" (abstract). See MPEP 2144.05.

Claims 2, 3, 9, 10, 12, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bhagwagar, particularly in view of Balian et al.

In general, a change of material is considered an obvious modification. In *Hotchkiss v. Greenwood*, **11 How. 248**<sup>1</sup>, the Supreme Court held that the "mere substitution of materials" was the "work of a skilled mechanic, not that of the inventor", and thus was unpatentable due to lack of inventiveness, a

Application/Control Number: 10/523,409 Page 4

Art Unit: 3744

characteristic that was codified in the 1952 Patent Act, 35 U.S.C. 103 and is now referred to as "obviousness". Although the teachings of Bhagwagar focus on silicone polymers and resins, the broader teaching suggested by the reference disclosure (Heat Softened Thermally Conductive compositions comprising a mixture of polymers and fillers that can have viscoelastic properties that are temperature dependent and are tailored to a desired range of temperatures) would clearly lead one of ordinary skill in the art to conclude that other suitable materials exhibiting the same transitional characteristics over the desired temperature range would be suitable. Balian et al. specifically teach that styrene block copolymers can be used in compliant thermally conductive compositions. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the instant invention was made to substitute any other suitable polymer material for the silicone resin and/or silicone polymer of Bhagwagar, such being a mere substitution of one known compound for another with predictable results.

Claims 4, 7, 8, 11, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bhagwagar in view of Balian et al. and Mikuni.

The use of additives for the composition of Bhagwagar is discussed, and conventional additives such as antioxidants, wetting agents, flame retardants, etc. are discussed. Another known additive for thermally compliant polymer materials is a tackifier such as a tackifying resin as discussed in Balian et al.

<sup>1</sup> Cited in Graham v. John Deere, 148 U.S.P.Q. 459.

(see abstract). Xylene resin is known as a tackifying agent (see Mikuni paragraph 126), and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the instant invention was made to add such a resin to the polymer of Bhagwagar, particularly where another polymer were to be substituted for the silicone resin (or where the silicone polymer alone were to be used). Bhagwagar, it is noted, teaches that silicone resin provides a tacky property that is useful for such compositions in thermal interface material applications.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The remaining references teach various composition for thermal interface material applications.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Allen J. Flanigan whose telephone number is (571) 272-4910. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl Tyler can be reached on (571) 272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-

Allen J. Flanigan Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3744

AJF